The Psychiatry of Enduring Peace: Brock Chisholm, Technocratic Globalism, and the Engineering of the World Citizen

Douglas C. Youvan

doug@youvan.com

www.youvan.ai

April 22, 2026

Dr. Brock Chisholm, the first Director-General of the World Health Organization, remains one of the most polarizing figures in the history of international governance. At the heart of his philosophy lay a radical proposition: that the traditional anchors of human identity—national patriotism, religious dogma, and family tradition—were the primary psychological drivers of conflict and war. To Chisholm, the survival of the human race in the atomic age required more than just diplomatic treaties; it necessitated a fundamental re-engineering of the human psyche. By redefining health to include total social and mental well-being, Chisholm empowered a new class of technocratic experts to oversee the moral and psychological development of global populations. This paper explores the intersection of social psychiatry and global institutionalism, examining Chisholm's vision of a world state governed by scientific reason rather than traditional morality. It analyzes the inherent tension between his quest for enduring peace and the preservation of individual sovereignty. Through a detailed investigation of his lectures and institutional legacy, we trace how his mental hygiene movement evolved into a broader technocratic framework that continues to influence modern education and global policy, often at the expense of local and personal autonomy.

Keywords: Brock Chisholm, World Health Organization, Social Psychiatry, Globalism, Secular Humanism, Technocracy, Mental Hygiene, Education Reform, Sovereignty, World Federalist Movement, UNESCO, Therapeutic State.

A collaboration with Google Gemini-3.1-Thinking. CC4.0.

Outline

1. Introduction: The Architect of the Global Mind 1.1 The Post-War Paradigm Shift 1.2 Defining the Scope of Social Psychiatry 1.3 Thesis Statement and Structural Overview

2. Biographical Foundations: From Orthodoxy to Humanism 2.1 The Presbyterian Upbringing and Subsequent Rejection 2.2 World War I Experience and the Trauma of Nationalism 2.3 The Transition to Unitarian Leadership

3. The Psychiatry of Enduring Peace: The William Alanson White Lectures 3.1 The 1946 Manifesto of Mental Hygiene 3.2 Pathologizing the Past: Tradition as a Clinical Deficit 3.3 The Call for Expert-Led Governance

4. The Institutionalization of Health: The WHO Charter 4.1 Redefining Health: Beyond Biology to Social Well-being 4.2 The Constitution of the World Health Organization 4.3 The Expansion of Medical Mandates into Moral Jurisdictions

5. The Eradication of Right and Wrong: Ethics in the Atomic Age 5.1 The Critique of Moral Certainty 5.2 Relativism as a Tool for Conflict Prevention 5.3 The Psychological Impact of Removing Traditional Moral Frameworks

6. The God Complex: Technocracy and the Rule of the Expert 6.1 The Rise of the Social Scientist as High Priest 6.2 Bureaucracy as a Tool for Psychological Engineering 6.3 The Hubris of the Scientific Management of Humanity

7. World Federalism: Sovereignty in a Borderless Society 7.1 The Obsolescence of the Nation-State 7.2 Tribalism vs. World Citizenship 7.3 Chisholm's Role in the World Federalist Movement

8. Educational Engineering: UNESCO and the Shaping of Future Generations 8.1 The Influence of Julian Huxley and Evolutionary Humanism 8.2 Standardizing the Global Curriculum 8.3 The Shift from Knowledge-Based to Values-Based Instruction

9. Therapeutic Tyranny: The Pathologization of Dissent 10.1 The Definition of Maladjustment as Political Resistance 10.2 The Use of Psychiatry as a Tool for Social Control 10.3 Critics of the Therapeutic State: From Szasz to the Sovereigntists

10. Comparative Philosophy: Chisholm vs. Tolstoy 10.1 Individual Moral Responsibility vs. Institutional Management 10.2 Radical Anarchism vs. Radical Technocracy 10.3 The Divergent Paths of Shunning Corporate Structure

11. Modern Echoes: Social-Emotional Learning and Digital Globalization 11.1 The Evolution of Values Clarification 11.2 Global Competency Frameworks in Modern Schools 11.3 Digital Surveillance and the New Frontiers of Mental Hygiene

12. Conclusion: The Legacy of the Engineered Psyche 12.1 Summary of the Technocratic Achievement 12.2 The Ongoing Conflict Between Global Identity and Personal Freedom 12.3 Final Reflections on the Sanity of Global Management

References

Art

1. Introduction: The Architect of the Global Mind

The mid-twentieth century was a period of unprecedented existential anxiety, as the smoke from the ruins of World War II and the looming shadow of the mushroom cloud forced a radical reappraisal of human civilization. In this crucible of crisis, Brock Chisholm emerged not merely as a medical administrator, but as a visionary architect of a new human consciousness. As the first Director-General of the World Health Organization, Chisholm occupied a unique position at the intersection of clinical science and global governance. He believed that the traditional structures of human society—the sovereign state, the religious institution, and the nuclear family—had proven themselves to be obsolete and, more dangerously, psychologically toxic. To Chisholm, the recurring cycles of global violence were not political accidents but symptoms of a deep-seated mental maladjustment ingrained in the human species through centuries of traditional upbringing. His project was nothing less than the scientific management of the global psyche, a pursuit he termed the psychiatry of enduring peace. By leveraging the newfound authority of international institutions, Chisholm sought to dismantle the barriers of local loyalty and replace them with a standardized, scientifically validated world citizenship. This introductory section establishes the framework for understanding Chisholm as a pioneer of technocratic globalism, whose work fundamentally altered the definition of health and the role of the state in the internal life of the individual.

1.1 The Post-War Paradigm Shift

The end of the Second World War signaled more than the cessation of hostilities; it marked the collapse of the Westphalian order and the birth of a new, urgent internationalism. The discovery of atomic energy and its subsequent use in warfare had fundamentally altered the calculus of human survival. Leaders and thinkers of the era, including Chisholm, were convinced that humanity could no longer afford the luxury of national competition or ideological divergence. The "Post-War Paradigm Shift" was characterized by a move away from the nineteenth-century model of balance-of-power diplomacy toward a model of integrated global management. Within this context, the human mind itself became a theater of war. If wars begin in the minds of men, as the UNESCO preamble famously stated, then it followed that the minds of men must be the primary site of intervention. Chisholm was at the forefront of this shift, arguing that the survival of the species required a clean break from the past. He viewed the era as a unique historical window where the old "certainties" had been discredited by the horrors of the Holocaust and the Blitz, providing an opportunity for experts to step into the vacuum. This was not merely a political shift but a biological one; Chisholm believed that humanity had to evolve into a new stage of social maturity or face extinction. This evolution, however, would not be left to the slow processes of natural selection but would be directed by the deliberate application of psychological and social sciences.

1.2 Defining the Scope of Social Psychiatry

Central to Chisholm's vision was the expansion of psychiatry from the narrow confines of the hospital ward to the broad expanse of the global social order. This movement, often referred to as social psychiatry or mental hygiene, sought to apply the diagnostic and therapeutic tools of the clinical psychologist to the problems of society at large. Chisholm argued that the "healthy" individual was not simply one who lacked a defined mental illness, but one who was capable of total integration into the world community. By redefining health as a state of complete physical, mental, and social well-being, Chisholm effectively medicalized the human condition. Under this new definition, adherence to narrow religious creeds, nationalistic fervor, or traditional moral codes could be diagnosed as forms of psychological arrested development or "maladjustment." The scope of social psychiatry was thus totalizing; it claimed jurisdiction over education, child-rearing, and the formation of public opinion. The psychiatrist was reimagined as a social engineer, tasked with identifying and "curing" the prejudices that led to social friction. This shift placed the expert in a position of supreme authority, as the arbiter of what constituted a "mature" or "healthy" belief system. The implications were profound, as it suggested that the path to peace required the systematic removal of the internal moral anchors that had historically guided human behavior, replacing them with a flexible, scientifically managed social ethic.

1.3 Thesis Statement and Structural Overview

This paper argues that Brock Chisholm's philosophy represents the foundational blueprint for a technocratic global state, wherein the psychological engineering of the individual is prioritized over traditional notions of personal and national sovereignty. By analyzing Chisholm's seminal lectures and his instrumental role in the formation of the World Health Organization and UNESCO, we can see a deliberate effort to pathologize traditionalism and promote a form of secular humanism as the only viable "mental health" for a globalized world. The following sections will detail this trajectory. Section 2 explores Chisholm's personal transition from a strict religious upbringing to a radical humanist worldview. Section 3 analyzes his 1946 manifesto on the psychiatry of enduring peace. Section 4 examines the expansion of the WHO's mandate into the moral and social realms. Section 5 discusses the controversial call for the "eradication of right and wrong." Section 6 addresses the "God Complex" inherent in the rule of the scientific expert. Section 7 and 8 explore the political and educational manifestations of his views through the World Federalist Movement and UNESCO. Sections 9 and 10 contrast Chisholm's institutionalism with the individualistic dissent of figures like Tolstoy and the critiques of the "therapeutic state." Finally, Sections 11 and 12 assess the modern legacy of Chisholm's engineered psyche in today's digital and globalized society. Through this investigation, we

uncover the enduring tension between the quest for a managed peace and the preservation of the autonomous human spirit.

2. Biographical Foundations: From Orthodoxy to Humanism

To comprehend the radical nature of Brock Chisholm's globalist vision, one must first examine the personal and intellectual crucible in which it was formed. Chisholm was not a detached academic; his theories were the result of a profound internal reaction against the traditional structures of his youth and the cataclysmic failures of the early twentieth-century geopolitical order. His life trajectory represents a systematic dismantling of inherited identity—moving from the rigid certainties of a small-town religious upbringing to the trauma of mechanized warfare, and finally to a leadership role in a religious movement that replaced supernatural faith with ethical humanism. This biographical evolution is essential to understanding why Chisholm viewed traditional loyalties not as virtues, but as psychological pathologies that needed to be surgically removed from the human collective. His personal journey from the local to the global served as the prototype for the universal transformation he would later attempt to impose through international institutions.

2.1 The Presbyterian Upbringing and Subsequent Rejection

George Brock Chisholm was born in 1896 in Oakville, Ontario, into a family deeply embedded in the traditional fabric of Canadian society. His upbringing was defined by the strictures of a conservative Presbyterian household, an environment where the concepts of right and wrong were presented as absolute, divinely ordained, and non-negotiable. In late Victorian and Edwardian Canada, the church was the central pillar of moral and social authority, providing a clear, albeit rigid, map of the universe. However, as Chisholm matured and entered the field of medicine and psychiatry, he began to view this moral framework as a form of psychological imprisonment. He would later characterize such religious instruction as the imposition of poisonous certainties that crippled a child's ability to reason and adapt. His rejection of Presbyterianism was not merely a loss of faith, but a clinical diagnosis of his own past. He came to believe that the heavy burden of "original sin" and the binary of "good versus evil" created a state of permanent internal conflict that prevented individuals from attaining true mental maturity. This rejection was foundational; he concluded that if the human race was to survive, it had to be liberated from the moral chains forged by traditional religious education.

2.2 World War I Experience and the Trauma of Nationalism

The defining trauma of Chisholm's life, and arguably the catalyst for his globalist philosophy, was his service in the First World War. Enlisting in the Canadian Expeditionary Force, Chisholm experienced the full horror of the Western Front, serving as a soldier and later as a decorated

officer. He witnessed firsthand the industrial-scale slaughter of a generation, a slaughter justified on both sides by appeals to national honor, patriotism, and the blessing of God. For Chisholm, the "insanity" of the trenches was the logical conclusion of the very virtues he had been taught in his youth. He saw that young men were willing to die and kill not for any rational reason, but because they had been conditioned from birth to believe that their nation was supreme and their cause was holy. He emerged from the war not just with physical scars—having been wounded in action—but with a profound disdain for nationalism, which he viewed as a form of collective tribal neurosis. The war taught him that as long as men remained loyal to separate flags and separate gods, peace was an impossibility. This experience transformed his understanding of psychiatry from a tool for individual healing into a necessary instrument for global survival; he believed that the "nationalist virus" had to be eradicated with the same clinical rigor as any physical plague.

2.3 The Transition to Unitarian Leadership

Despite his rejection of orthodox Christianity, Chisholm did not retreat into a purely secular or isolated existence. Instead, he sought a new type of "corporate" religious structure that could facilitate his humanist goals without the baggage of supernatural dogma. This search led him to the Unitarian Church, a denomination that emphasized individual reason, social justice, and the absence of mandatory creeds. Chisholm found in Unitarianism a compatible platform for his belief that humanity must save itself through scientific and social progress. His involvement was not peripheral; he rose to become the Moderator of the American Unitarian Association, a position of significant influence. This role allowed him to bridge the gap between institutional religion and the burgeoning world government movement. For Chisholm, the Unitarian Church served as a model for what he hoped the world would become: a community organized around shared ethical values and "intellectual freedom" rather than ancient dogmas. It also provided him with a respectable veneer of religious leadership, which he used to advocate for radical changes in education and mental health policy. His Unitarian leadership was a crucial step in his transition from a Canadian psychiatrist to a global technocrat, providing him with the institutional vocabulary to present his vision of a de-dogmatized world as a moral and spiritual necessity.

3. The Psychiatry of Enduring Peace: The William Alanson White Lectures

In late 1945 and early 1946, as the world struggled to make sense of the carnage of the Second World War and the terrifying potential of nuclear weapons, Brock Chisholm delivered a series of lectures that would serve as the philosophical foundation for his career in international governance. Delivered at the William Alanson White Psychiatric Foundation, these lectures were

titled The Psychiatry of Enduring Peace and Social Progress. Chisholm was not speaking as a mere physician but as a social prophet, arguing that the traditional methods of preventing war—diplomacy, balance of power, and military strength—had failed because they did not address the root of the problem: the human mind itself. He posited that the recurring cycles of human conflict were the result of a collective, global neurosis that had been passed down through generations. To Chisholm, the atomic age demanded a total break from the past. He argued that if humanity did not change its way of thinking, and if it did not do so quickly, the species would inevitably destroy itself. These lectures marked the official emergence of the "mental hygiene" movement on the world stage, presenting psychiatry as the essential tool for managing the future of civilization.

3.1 The 1946 Manifesto of Mental Hygiene

The 1946 lectures functioned as a radical manifesto for the application of psychiatry to global affairs. Chisholm asserted that the world's problems were fundamentally clinical in nature. He argued that "man's own nature" was the greatest threat to his survival, and that this nature was currently "maladjusted" to the realities of a technologically advanced world. Chisholm challenged his colleagues in the human sciences to take up a mantle of unprecedented power, stating that they were the only ones equipped to diagnose the ills of society and prescribe a cure. This manifesto called for the systematic reorganization of human culture to promote "mental health," which Chisholm defined as the ability to live in harmony with the entire human race, free from the "crippling" effects of local or nationalistic loyalty. It was a call to move psychiatry out of the asylum and into the halls of government and the classrooms of every school. For Chisholm, "mental hygiene" was not just about treating illness; it was a proactive, global project to prevent war by re-engineering the psychological development of every child on the planet.

3.2 Pathologizing the Past: Tradition as a Clinical Deficit

The most controversial and enduring aspect of Chisholm's 1946 thesis was his systematic pathologization of traditional values. He argued that the primary obstacle to world peace was the way children were raised by their parents and taught by their churches. In Chisholm's view, the transmission of "dogma"—be it religious, moral, or nationalistic—was a form of psychological assault that prevented children from reaching mental maturity. He famously labeled the concepts of "right and wrong," as taught in traditional households, as a kind of poison that created "moral chains" around the developing intellect. By framing tradition as a clinical deficit, Chisholm effectively categorized thousands of years of human culture as a series of shared neuroses. In this framework, a person who felt a deep, exclusive loyalty to their own nation or followed a strict religious creed was not a person of principle, but someone suffering from a form of arrested development. This move allowed the proponents of social psychiatry to

dismiss traditionalist opposition as being fundamentally "unhealthy." The past was no longer a source of wisdom to be preserved, but a repository of pathologies to be overcome through scientific intervention and education.

3.3 The Call for Expert-Led Governance

Chisholm's lectures concluded with a stark vision of a world governed by an enlightened scientific elite. He argued that because the general population was still mired in the "prejudices" of the past, they could not be trusted to govern themselves in a way that would avoid global catastrophe. He proposed that the "human sciences"—psychiatry, sociology, and psychology—should take the lead in deciding the future of the human race. This was a call for a technocratic form of governance where the "experts" in human behavior would serve as the ultimate arbiters of social and educational policy. Chisholm believed that these experts, by virtue of their scientific training, were the only ones "mature" enough to see past the illusions of nationalism and religion. He envisioned international institutions like the United Nations and the World Health Organization as the vehicles for this expert-led rule. In this system, the role of the citizen was to be managed and "adjusted" for the sake of the global whole, while the role of the expert was to ensure that the environment remained free from the "poisonous certainties" that lead to conflict. This established the blueprint for a therapeutic state, where political and moral questions are transformed into administrative and clinical problems to be solved by professionals.

The model above illustrates how Chisholm's expanded definition of health allowed for psychiatric and institutional intervention at every level of society, from the individual mind to the global policy sphere.

4. The Institutionalization of Health: The WHO Charter

The transition of Brock Chisholm's personal philosophy into a global administrative framework was codified through the creation of the World Health Organization. While the organization is often viewed today through the lens of pandemic response and clinical pathology, its founding was rooted in a much broader, almost metaphysical, ambition. Chisholm was not merely interested in the eradication of malaria or tuberculosis; he was interested in the eradication of the psychological conditions that led to war. By embedding his views into the official charter of a specialized agency of the United Nations, he ensured that his theories on mental hygiene would be backed by the weight of international law and institutional authority. This section examines how the technical drafting of a medical constitution provided the legal and philosophical infrastructure for a global project of social engineering, moving the practice of medicine from the treatment of the body to the management of the civilization.

4.1 Redefining Health: Beyond Biology to Social Well-being

The most significant achievement of the WHO's founding era was the radical redefinition of the word health itself. Prior to 1946, health was generally understood in the negative—as the absence of disease, infirmity, or physical defect. Chisholm and his fellow architects of the WHO charter sought to replace this biological definition with a totalizing social one. The preamble to the WHO Constitution famously defined health as a state of complete physical, mental, and social well-being and not merely the absence of disease or infirmity. This shift was revolutionary. By including social well-being in the definition of health, the WHO effectively expanded the jurisdiction of the medical professional into every aspect of human life. If a person's social environment, religious beliefs, or political allegiances were deemed to interfere with their total well-being, those factors could now be categorized as public health issues. This definition provided the "medical" justification for intervening in the family unit, the school system, and the legislative process. It transformed the physician into a social arbiter, whose mandate was no longer limited to the individual patient but extended to the entire social organism. Under this definition, any tradition or belief system that created social friction could be diagnosed as a threat to global health.

4.2 The Constitution of the World Health Organization

The drafting of the WHO Constitution in 1946 was a carefully orchestrated effort to create a new type of international agency—one that operated on the principle that the health of all peoples is fundamental to the attainment of peace and security. Chisholm, serving as the Executive Secretary of the Interim Commission, was the driving force behind the document. The Constitution was designed to be a "Magna Carta" for health, asserting that the enjoyment of the highest attainable standard of health is one of the fundamental rights of every human being without distinction of race, religion, political belief, economic or social condition. However, the document also placed significant responsibilities on the state, asserting that governments have a responsibility for the health of their peoples which can be fulfilled only by the provision of adequate health and social measures. By linking health directly to "security" and "peace," the Constitution elevated the WHO from a humanitarian agency to a security agency. It established a framework where the "mental health" of a population was considered a prerequisite for international stability, thereby granting the organization the authority to monitor and influence the psychological climate of its member states.

4.3 The Expansion of Medical Mandates into Moral Jurisdictions

With the new definition of health and a formal constitution in place, the path was cleared for the expansion of medical authority into what had previously been considered the realms of morality, ethics, and religion. Chisholm argued that because "mental health" was essential to

peace, and because certain moral teachings (such as the concept of absolute right and wrong) were detrimental to mental health, the medical establishment had a duty to intervene in the moral training of children. This was the birth of the global therapeutic state. In this model, the psychiatrist and the social scientist replaced the priest and the parent as the ultimate guides for human behavior. The WHO began to promote programs that viewed "maladjustment" to global norms as a clinical problem to be solved through education and psychological adjustment. This expansion of mandate meant that the medical professional was now empowered to challenge traditional moral jurisdictions. If a religious dogma was seen as causing "guilt" or "social exclusion," it could be treated as a pathogen to be neutralized. By framing these interventions as "health measures," the WHO and its proponents were able to bypass political and religious opposition, presenting their radical social goals as objective, scientific necessities for the survival of the human race.

The physical headquarters of the WHO serves as a symbol of the institutionalization of Chisholm's vision, housing the administrative bureaucracy tasked with monitoring the social and mental well-being of the global population.

5. The Eradication of Right and Wrong: Ethics in the Atomic Age

The most provocative and philosophically jarring element of Brock Chisholm's agenda was his explicit call for the dismantling of traditional moral systems. Chisholm believed that the survival of humanity in the shadow of the atomic bomb required a fundamental departure from the ethical foundations that had governed human civilization for millennia. To him, the concepts of absolute right and wrong were not divine truths or protective social guidelines, but rather "moral chains" that bound the human intellect and fueled the fires of tribalism. He argued that as long as individuals were trained from infancy to believe in the objective superiority of their own moral or religious codes, conflict would remain an inevitability. His proposal was to replace these fixed, inherited systems with a flexible, scientific approach to ethics—one that prioritized social harmony and global integration over personal conviction. This section examines the ideological framework behind Chisholm's attempt to neutralize the human conscience, viewing it as a necessary medical intervention designed to produce a population capable of coexisting in a crowded and dangerous modern world.

5.1 The Critique of Moral Certainty

Chisholm's critique of moral certainty was rooted in his clinical observation of what he termed the crippled intellect. He posited that when children are taught that certain actions or beliefs are inherently right or wrong, their ability to reason is effectively shut down in those areas. This "poisonous certainty" prevents the individual from objectively assessing new information or

empathizing with those who hold different views. Chisholm argued that this lack of intellectual flexibility was a primary driver of the prejudices that lead to war. In his view, a person burdened with the certainties of their ancestors is unable to adapt to the shifting needs of a global society. He viewed the traditional moral training provided by parents and religious institutions as a form of psychological damage, creating "guilt" and "anxiety" that served no productive social purpose. By attacking the foundation of moral certainty, Chisholm sought to free the individual from the "dead hand of the past," suggesting that a healthy mind is one that remains in a state of perpetual openness and moral plasticity.

5.2 Relativism as a Tool for Conflict Prevention

For Chisholm, the promotion of moral relativism was not merely an academic exercise but a strategic tool for conflict prevention. He believed that if individuals could be convinced that their values were subjective products of their environment rather than absolute truths, the primary motivation for holy wars and nationalistic crusades would vanish. In a world where no one is "certain" they are right, there is no moral ground upon which to build a justification for violence against the "other." This approach sought to create a social climate of universal tolerance by diluting the intensity of all personal beliefs. Chisholm's vision of peace was, in many ways, a peace of exhaustion—a state where strong convictions are replaced by a generalized commitment to "social well-being" as defined by international experts. By devaluing the concept of objective truth, Chisholm aimed to remove the ideological friction that he believed was the root cause of systemic human aggression. The goal was to produce a "tolerant" world citizen who would prioritize the directives of a global state over the "obsolete" moral dictates of their own tradition.

5.3 The Psychological Impact of Removing Traditional Moral Frameworks

The removal of traditional moral frameworks, while presented by Chisholm as a liberation, carries profound and unsettling psychological implications. Critics argue that by attempting to eradicate the concept of right and wrong, Chisholm was effectively hollowing out the human conscience. Without a fixed internal moral compass, the individual becomes increasingly dependent on external, institutional guidance to navigate social and ethical dilemmas. This creates a psychological vacuum that is easily filled by state-directed propaganda or "expert" consensus. Furthermore, the loss of shared moral language can lead to a sense of "anomie" or social alienation, as the traditional bonds of family and faith are weakened in favor of a sterile, global identity. Chisholm viewed the absence of guilt as a sign of maturity, but his detractors saw it as the creation of a "psychopathic" social order—one where empathy is replaced by administrative compliance and where the individual has no moral basis upon which to resist the authority of the technocratic state. The "engineered psyche" that Chisholm envisioned was a

person free from the conflicts of the past, but potentially also free from the depth and resilience that come from living according to a higher moral law.

6. The God Complex: Technocracy and the Rule of the Expert

The term god complex is frequently leveled at individuals who believe their personal insight or professional expertise grants them the right to unilaterally alter the fundamental conditions of human existence. In the case of Brock Chisholm, this complex was not merely a personality trait but a formalized governing philosophy. Chisholm operated under the conviction that the traditional world—governed by the messy, unscientific impulses of faith, family, and flag—had proven itself to be a failure. He believed that the only hope for human survival lay in a complete transfer of authority from the common man and the traditional leader to a new class of scientific experts. This was the birth of a technocratic vision where the management of the human psyche was treated with the same cold, administrative precision as the management of a power grid or an industrial supply chain. In Chisholm's world, the complexity of human morality and the depth of individual conscience were reduced to variables that could be adjusted, optimized, or deleted by those who possessed the correct credentials in the social and psychological sciences.

6.1 The Rise of the Social Scientist as High Priest

Chisholm's philosophy essentially proposed a secular replacement for the traditional priesthood. He argued that throughout history, religious and moral leaders had burdened humanity with guilt and dogma that led to neurosis and war. In their place, he installed the psychiatrist and the social scientist. To Chisholm, these experts were the only individuals who had achieved sufficient maturity to see the world as it truly was—a biological system in need of regulation. In his famous 1946 address, he explicitly stated that psychiatrists must now decide what is to be the immediate future of the human race, adding the chilling caveat that no one else can. This move effectively granted a single profession the status of a global moral arbiter. The social scientist became a high priest of the secular age, tasked not with the salvation of souls, but with the standardization of minds. By framing social and political problems as clinical ones, Chisholm ensured that the only voices permitted to offer solutions were those within the approved scientific hierarchy. This created a closed loop of authority where the expert defines what is healthy, and anything that deviates from that definition is treated as a pathology to be cured.

6.2 Bureaucracy as a Tool for Psychological Engineering

The god complex inherent in Chisholm's vision required a massive administrative apparatus to implement. This is where the bureaucracy of international institutions like the WHO and the UN

became indispensable. Chisholm understood that radical psychological change could not be achieved through individual therapy alone; it required the systematic control of the human environment. Through these global bodies, the principles of social psychiatry were woven into the very fabric of international policy. Bureaucracy provided the perfect shield for psychological engineering, as it translated radical ideological goals into the sterile language of health standards, educational outcomes, and social well-being. By controlling the definitions and the funding, Chisholm's technocracy could influence how local governments treated their citizens and how schools educated their children. The bureaucratic state became a silent therapist, subtly nudging entire populations toward a new global identity by pathologizing resistance and rewarding compliance. In this system, the individual is not an active participant in their own moral development but a data point to be managed by an invisible network of global administrators.

6.3 The Hubris of the Scientific Management of Humanity

The fundamental flaw and ultimate hubris of Chisholm's vision lay in the belief that human nature is infinitely malleable and that a small group of experts possesses the wisdom to reshape it. This level of arrogance assumes that the experts themselves are immune to the very prejudices and neuroses they seek to eradicate in others. Critics argue that this technocratic approach ignores the organic, complex, and often irrational needs of the human spirit—needs that are frequently met by the very family traditions and religious dogmas Chisholm sought to destroy. By attempting to engineer a world free from conflict, Chisholm risked creating a world free from depth, diversity, and genuine moral agency. The hubris of scientific management is that it treats humanity as a problem to be solved rather than a mystery to be lived. This perspective inevitably leads to a form of totalitarianism, not of the iron fist, but of the velvet glove. It is a system that seeks to eliminate dissent not through violence, but by defining dissent out of existence as a mental health issue. To many, the insanity Chisholm perceived in the world was merely a reflection of the cold, clinical madness inherent in his own plan to replace the human soul with a managed global psyche.

7. World Federalism: Sovereignty in a Borderless Society

For Brock Chisholm, the concept of the nation-state was not a natural or permanent fixture of human organization, but a dangerous relic of a less evolved era. He viewed the Westphalian system of sovereign, competing powers as a psychological trap that forced individuals into zero-sum conflicts. In the wake of two world wars, Chisholm became a leading voice in the movement for world federalism, arguing that the only way to prevent a final, nuclear catastrophe was to dissolve the boundaries that separated humanity. He believed that

sovereignty was a psychological delusion that provided a false sense of security while actually inviting destruction. To Chisholm, the transition to a borderless society was not merely a political necessity but a clinical requirement for the mental health of the species. He envisioned a world where loyalty to a specific patch of earth or a particular flag was replaced by a singular, rational commitment to the global whole. This section explores how Chisholm sought to dismantle the ideological foundations of the nation-state, branding patriotism as a form of social maladjustment and positioning world government as the ultimate achievement of human maturity.

7.1 The Obsolescence of the Nation-State

Chisholm argued that the nation-state had become an obsolete technology for human survival. In his view, the technological advances of the twentieth century—most notably the airplane and the atomic bomb—had effectively shrunk the world, making the idea of "national defense" an impossibility. He posited that as long as humanity remained divided into sovereign units, the pursuit of security would lead to an endless arms race and eventual extinction. Chisholm viewed the state as a "corporate" manifestation of the ego, a structure that encouraged individuals to externalize their fears and hostilities onto an "enemy" across a border. By calling for the end of the nation-state, he was calling for the end of what he saw as institutionalized paranoia. He believed that the functions of the state—law, education, and health—should be transferred to global bodies that were not beholden to local interests. This perspective viewed national sovereignty as a hurdle to be cleared, a primitive attachment that prevented the implementation of scientific solutions to global problems. The nation-state was, in Chisholm’s diagnosis, a primary pathogen in the body politic of the world.

7.2 Tribalism vs. World Citizenship

At the heart of Chisholm's push for world federalism was the distinction between tribalism and world citizenship. He frequently used the term tribal to describe any loyalty that was smaller than the human race itself. To Chisholm, patriotism was simply a sophisticated form of tribalism, a psychological defense mechanism that reinforced "in-group" and "out-group" dynamics. He argued that the "mature" person is one who has successfully outgrown these tribal attachments and can think in terms of the entire planet. This "world citizen" was the goal of his psychological engineering project. While many saw patriotism as a virtue, Chisholm saw it as a clinical deficit—an inability to perceive the interconnectedness of all life. This binary allowed Chisholm to categorize any resistance to global governance as an expression of "immaturity" or "neuroticism." By framing world citizenship as the only "sane" response to the modern world, he effectively pathologized the deep-seated human desire for local identity and communal belonging, viewing these not as sources of strength, but as obstacles to a managed, friction-less peace.

7.3 Chisholm's Role in the World Federalist Movement

Chisholm was not a passive supporter of globalism; he was an active leader in the World Federalist Movement (WFM). Following his tenure at the WHO, he served as the president of the WFM, using his international stature to advocate for a formal world government with the power to enforce international law. He believed that the United Nations, in its original form, was too weak because it still respected the principle of national sovereignty. Chisholm campaigned for a revised UN Charter that would transform the organization into a true federal government, complete with a global police force and the authority to tax. His involvement in the movement was the practical application of his psychiatric theories. He saw the WFM as the political vehicle for the "mental hygiene" of the planet. For Chisholm, the establishment of a world state was the final stage in the treatment of the human collective. It would provide the stable, rational environment necessary for the "New World Man" to flourish, free from the "poisonous certainties" of national history and the trauma of border-based conflict. His leadership in this movement cemented his legacy as a man who sought to replace the organic, often chaotic variety of human nations with a singular, scientifically managed global administration.

8. Educational Engineering: UNESCO and the Shaping of Future Generations

Brock Chisholm recognized that the psychological re-engineering of the adult population was a difficult, perhaps impossible, task. The "poisonous certainties" of religion and nationalism were often too deeply rooted in the mature mind to be fully extracted. Consequently, his strategy for enduring peace shifted its primary focus to the next generation. Chisholm viewed the classroom as the essential laboratory for the creation of the world citizen. By collaborating with international bodies dedicated to culture and education, he sought to bypass the traditional influence of the home and the church, reaching children before their moral and national identities were solidified. This was not merely education in the classical sense of transmitting knowledge, but a form of educational engineering designed to produce a specific type of human being: one who was psychologically "adjusted" to a borderless, secular, and technocratic world.

8.1 The Influence of Julian Huxley and Evolutionary Humanism

The ideological synergy between Brock Chisholm and Julian Huxley, the first Director-General of UNESCO, was a defining force in post-war educational theory. Huxley, a biologist and philosopher, championed a concept known as evolutionary humanism—the belief that humanity had reached a stage where it could, and should, take conscious control of its own evolution. Like Chisholm, Huxley viewed traditional religions and nationalisms as evolutionary dead ends that hindered the progress of the species. Together, they envisioned UNESCO as an agency that would provide the "evolutionary direction" for the planet. Education was the

primary tool for this direction. Chisholm provided the psychiatric justification—the need for mental hygiene and the removal of "dogma"—while Huxley provided the biological and philosophical framework. This partnership ensured that the educational initiatives of the United Nations were grounded in a worldview that prioritized the collective survival of the species over the preservation of individual or local traditions.

8.2 Standardizing the Global Curriculum

To achieve a world without conflict, Chisholm and his contemporaries believed that education had to be standardized on a global scale. This led to the development of curricula designed to minimize the "divisive" aspects of history and culture. Under this framework, national history was often reframed through the lens of global interdependence, and religious teachings were treated as sociological artifacts rather than absolute truths. The goal was to create a "universal" narrative that all children, regardless of their place of birth, could adopt. Chisholm argued that the state and international organizations had a right to intervene in education to protect children from the "prejudices" of their parents. Standardizing the global curriculum was a method of clinical prevention; by ensuring that every child was exposed to the same humanist values, the technocrats hoped to immunize the next generation against the "infections" of patriotism and religious exclusivity. This standardization effectively moved the "steering wheel" of education away from the local community and into the hands of international experts.

8.3 The Shift from Knowledge-Based to Values-Based Instruction

Perhaps the most radical aspect of Chisholm's educational legacy was the shift from a knowledge-based model of instruction to a values-based, or "affective," model. Traditional education focused on the mastery of facts, logic, and classical subjects. Chisholm, however, viewed this as secondary to the formation of the child's personality and social attitudes. He advocated for a school system that prioritized "social-emotional adjustment" and the "clarification of values." In this model, the teacher's role shifted from an instructor of facts to a facilitator of psychological change. Students were encouraged to question the "absolutes" they learned at home and to adopt a more relativistic, globally-minded outlook. This was the practical application of Chisholm's desire to "eradicate the concept of right and wrong." By focusing on how a student felt about a global issue rather than what they knew about it, the educational system could more effectively mold the student's internal moral landscape. This shift laid the groundwork for modern educational movements that prioritize global competency and social justice over academic rigor, fulfilling Chisholm's vision of schools as the primary engine for social and psychological engineering.

9. Therapeutic Tyranny: The Pathologization of Dissent

The convergence of clinical psychiatry and global governance under Brock Chisholm's vision created a new and potent form of authority: the therapeutic state. This paradigm shift replaced the traditional legal and political methods of social control with a medicalized framework. In a traditional state, an individual is judged by their actions according to a set of laws; in a therapeutic state, an individual is evaluated by their thoughts and attitudes according to a standard of mental health. Chisholm's project was not merely to heal the sick, but to define what constituted a healthy mind for the entire human species. By doing so, he created a system where dissent from the globalist, secular, and technocratic agenda was no longer treated as a valid intellectual or political position. Instead, such dissent was pathologized—reclassified as a symptom of clinical maladjustment or psychological arrested development. This section explores the chilling implications of a world where political opposition is treated as a disease, and where the psychiatrist replaces the judge as the final arbiter of social participation.

9.1 The Definition of Maladjustment as Political Resistance

Under Chisholm's framework, the term maladjustment was expanded far beyond its original clinical utility. It became a catch-all category for any individual or group that resisted the integration into a borderless, de-dogmatized world order. Chisholm argued that a mature and healthy person was one who had successfully shed the prejudices of nationalism and religion. Consequently, the inverse became an official psychiatric reality: those who clung to their national sovereignty, religious traditions, or ancestral moral codes were diagnosed as having failed to reach psychological maturity. This transformation of political resistance into a medical deficit is a hallmark of therapeutic tyranny. It allows the state to bypass the need for debate or democratic consensus. If a person opposes a global education initiative or a world health mandate because of their religious convictions, the therapeutic state does not need to address the logic of their argument. Instead, it addresses the state of their mental health. By labeling the dissenter as maladjusted, the technocracy effectively strips them of their standing as a rational actor, treating their beliefs as an infection to be managed rather than a perspective to be respected.

9.2 The Use of Psychiatry as a Tool for Social Control

The practical application of Chisholm's social psychiatry required a move toward proactive surveillance and intervention. The goal was to identify and "adjust" potential dissenters before they could influence the social whole. This was achieved through the implementation of mandatory psychological screenings in schools, the military, and the civil service. These screenings were not looking for traditional mental illnesses like schizophrenia or depression, but for "socially unacceptable" attitudes. School counselors and mental health professionals were

empowered to monitor the development of children, looking for signs of "intolerance" or "narrow-mindedness"—often defined as an adherence to the moral teachings of the home. This use of psychiatry as a tool for social control is particularly effective because it operates under the guise of compassion and health. Because the state claims to be acting for the "well-being" of the individual and the "security" of the world, it can justify interventions that would be seen as overtly tyrannical in a political context. The "patient" (the citizen) is not punished for their dissent; they are "treated" for it, often through educational reprogramming or social ostracization, a process that is far more difficult to resist because it carries the weight of scientific infallibility.

9.3 Critics of the Therapeutic State: From Szasz to the Sovereigntists

The rise of Chisholm's therapeutic state did not go unchallenged. One of the most prominent intellectual critics was Thomas Szasz, a psychiatrist who famously argued in The Myth of Mental Illness that the medicalization of social and moral issues was a fundamental threat to human freedom. Szasz contended that once the state is granted the power to define "sanity," it can justify any level of coercion by labeling its victims as "insane" or "dangerous to themselves and others." This critique resonated with political sovereigntists and traditionalists who saw Chisholm's "mental hygiene" movement as a blueprint for a global, soft totalitarianism. These critics argued that the "eradication of right and wrong" was not an act of liberation, but an act of de-moralization designed to make the population more compliant. They feared that the "world citizen" was actually a "hollow man"—an individual without the moral or national identity necessary to resist the overreach of global institutions. The pushback against the therapeutic state emphasizes that the human conscience is not a medical variable to be adjusted by experts, but the ultimate seat of individual sovereignty. The ongoing conflict between Chisholm's technocracy and its critics remains a central tension in the modern world, as populations struggle to maintain their cultural and personal autonomy against the encroachments of a managed global psyche.

10. Comparative Philosophy: Chisholm vs. Tolstoy

While both Leo Tolstoy and Brock Chisholm were titans of their respective eras who sought a path toward universal peace, their philosophical foundations represent a profound divergence in the understanding of human nature. Both men famously rejected the "corporate" and dogmatic structures of traditional Christianity, viewing them as obstacles to progress and sources of human suffering. However, the solutions they proposed were diametrically opposed. Tolstoy looked inward, advocating for a radical, individualistic moral awakening that would render the state and its institutions unnecessary. Chisholm looked outward, advocating for a

radical, institutionalized psychological management that would render the traditional individual soul a relic of the past. This section contrasts Tolstoy's vision of moral anarchism with Chisholm's vision of technocratic globalism, illustrating the two competing paths for a humanity seeking to transcend the violence of the nation-state.

10.1 Individual Moral Responsibility vs. Institutional Management

The core of the disagreement between Tolstoy and Chisholm lies in the locus of moral authority. For Tolstoy, the answer to the world's violence was the restoration of individual moral responsibility. He believed that the kingdom of God is within you, suggesting that every person possesses an internal moral compass that, if followed, leads naturally to non-violence and the rejection of state coercion. To Tolstoy, institutions were the problem because they allowed individuals to outsource their conscience to a collective body. In contrast, Chisholm viewed the individual conscience—specifically one formed by traditional morality—as the primary source of global instability. He did not trust the internal "kingdom" of the individual, which he saw as cluttered with the "poisonous certainties" of the past. Instead, he proposed a system of institutional management where the state, guided by psychiatric expertise, would cultivate the necessary "well-being" and "maturity" in its citizens. While Tolstoy sought to empower the individual to say no to the state, Chisholm sought to adjust the individual so that their desires and the state's goals were scientifically aligned.

10.2 Radical Anarchism vs. Radical Technocracy

The political implications of their philosophies led to two distinct forms of radicalism: Christian anarchism and global technocracy. Tolstoy's rejection of institutional dogma led him to a form of anarchism where the only legitimate authority was the individual's own understanding of universal love and truth. He called for the dissolution of all states, armies, and hierarchical churches, believing that once the "corporate" masks were removed, humans would naturally live in peace. Chisholm, however, believed that such a view was dangerously naive in the atomic age. His rejection of traditional dogma led him not to the end of governance, but to the creation of a totalizing, global governance. He believed that human impulses were too volatile to be left unregulated and that a global state—a radical technocracy—was required to manage the psychological and social health of the world's population. Where Tolstoy saw the state as a machine of violence that must be destroyed, Chisholm saw the state as a clinical tool that must be perfected and expanded to encompass the entire globe.

10.3 The Divergent Paths of Shunning Corporate Structure

Both men are often remembered for their "shunning" of established religious and social orders, but they utilized the energy of that rejection in very different ways. Tolstoy's shunning was an act of total withdrawal. He gave up his wealth, his titles, and his association with the Russian

Orthodox Church, attempting to live as a simple peasant in accordance with his moral convictions. He believed that by exiting the "corporate" structures of his time, he could provide a model for a more authentic human existence. Chisholm, conversely, did not exit the corporate structure; he sought to transcend it by creating a new, more efficient version of it. While he shunned the "dogma" of Presbyterianism, he embraced the institutional power of the Unitarian Church and the United Nations. He understood that to achieve the scale of psychological change he desired, he needed the massive administrative and financial weight of international bodies. Chisholm did not want to abolish the corporation; he wanted to make it global, secular, and scientific. His shunning was not a withdrawal into the self, but an expansion into the global collective, replacing the "church of the past" with the "health organization of the future."

11. Modern Echoes: Social-Emotional Learning and Digital Globalization

The radical proposals put forth by Brock Chisholm in the mid-twentieth century were once viewed by his contemporaries as either a utopian dream or a dystopian threat. However, in the twenty-first century, the core components of his vision have become normalized within the administrative structures of the modern world. The shift from academic instruction to psychological management, the prioritization of global loyalty over local tradition, and the use of "health" as a justification for social engineering are no longer revolutionary ideas; they are the standard operating procedures of global governance. Today, the "mental hygiene" movement has been rebranded and updated for the digital age, utilizing sophisticated educational frameworks and pervasive data surveillance to achieve the "adjustment" of the individual that Chisholm could only envision in his lectures. This section explores how Chisholm's legacy manifests in contemporary society, tracing the evolution of his theories into the pervasive systems that currently shape human behavior and social norms.

11.1 The Evolution of Values Clarification

One of the most direct descendants of Chisholm's desire to "eradicate the concept of right and wrong" is the pedagogical movement known as values clarification. Emerging in the decades following Chisholm's tenure at the WHO, this approach explicitly discourages teachers from imparting fixed moral truths to students. Instead, students are encouraged to "clarify" their own values based on personal feelings and social utility. This methodology effectively internalizes Chisholm's moral relativism, training children to view ethics as a subjective choice rather than a set of inherited principles. By removing the "moral chains" of traditional certainty, the educational system creates a psychological vacuum. In the absence of a strong, home-grown moral framework, the individual becomes more susceptible to the "consensus-based" ethics promoted by the state and global institutions. This evolution fulfills Chisholm's goal of creating a

flexible, "mature" population that does not cling to "poisonous" certainties, but instead adapts its values to meet the shifting requirements of a managed global collective.

11.2 Global Competency Frameworks in Modern Schools

The drive to create "world citizens" has found its modern expression in the "Global Competency" frameworks adopted by organizations like the OECD and implemented in schools worldwide through the PISA assessments. These frameworks evaluate students not just on their knowledge of mathematics or science, but on their attitudes toward global issues, their ability to navigate "diverse perspectives," and their commitment to global sustainability and social justice. This is the institutionalized realization of Chisholm's call for a standardized global curriculum. By measuring "attitudinal outcomes," international bodies can now rank nations based on how well their children have been "adjusted" to the norms of globalism. This system exerts a powerful "soft power" pressure on local school boards and parents to align their children's education with a globalist worldview. The "competent" student is one who has successfully traded local and national loyalties for a standardized identity as a participant in a borderless, technocratic order, exactly as Chisholm envisioned at the 1954 Asilomar conference.

11.3 Digital Surveillance and the New Frontiers of Mental Hygiene

Perhaps the most significant expansion of Chisholm's vision lies in the intersection of mental health and digital technology. What Chisholm attempted to achieve through classroom lectures and psychiatric screenings is now being pursued through algorithmic nudging and the mass collection of psychological data. The modern "well-being" movement, often integrated into social media platforms and workplace productivity apps, monitors the "mental health" of populations in real-time. This digital frontier of mental hygiene allows for a more granular and pervasive form of social engineering. Data regarding an individual's political opinions, social interactions, and emotional states can be used to identify "maladjustment" or "toxicity" with clinical precision. In some jurisdictions, this data is already being used to create "social credit" systems that reward compliance with state-defined norms of "social well-being." This is the ultimate fruition of the therapeutic state: a world where the individual's mind is constantly monitored and "adjusted" by an invisible, digital bureaucracy, ensuring that the "sanity" of the global system is maintained at the expense of individual privacy and cognitive liberty.

12. Conclusion: The Legacy of the Engineered Psyche

The intellectual and institutional journey of Brock Chisholm represents one of the most successful, yet deeply unsettling, ideological transformations of the twentieth century. What began as a radical psychiatric response to the trauma of world war evolved into a comprehensive blueprint for a global civilization managed by an elite class of scientific experts.

Chisholm did not merely seek to cure disease; he sought to dismantle the traditional psychological architecture of humanity to make the world safe for a specific form of technocratic peace. By successfully embedding his vision into the founding documents of the World Health Organization and the operational frameworks of UNESCO, he ensured that his project of mental hygiene would persist long after his death. The legacy of the engineered psyche is visible today in the standardized curricula of modern schools, the pervasive focus on social-emotional adjustment in the workplace, and the increasing medicalization of political and social dissent. Ultimately, Chisholm's career serves as a case study in the power of institutionalism to normalize radical ideas, demonstrating how the language of health and well-being can be utilized to justify a totalizing authority over the internal life of the individual.

12.1 Summary of the Technocratic Achievement

The technocratic achievement of Brock Chisholm lies in his masterful redefinition of the human condition as a clinical problem. By expanding the definition of health to encompass total social and mental well-being, he effectively removed the boundaries between medicine and governance. This shift allowed for a new form of administrative power that is far more pervasive than traditional political rule. It is a power that does not simply legislate behavior but seeks to preemptively adjust the thought patterns, loyalties, and moral frameworks that lead to that behavior. Chisholm's success was not in convincing the world of the truth of his ideas, but in building the institutions that would treat those ideas as self-evident scientific facts. Today, the global administrative state operates largely on the Chisholmian assumption that the individual is a malleable unit that must be optimized for the stability of the global whole. The "corporate" globalism he championed has successfully marginalized the "tribal" certainties of the past, replacing them with a fluid, consensus-driven ethical system that prioritizes social harmony over objective truth or individual conviction.

12.2 The Ongoing Conflict Between Global Identity and Personal Freedom

Despite the institutional dominance of Chisholm's vision, the conflict between global identity and personal freedom remains the central tension of our time. The "poisonous certainties" that Chisholm sought to eradicate—faith, family, and national pride—have proven to be remarkably resilient. For many, these traditional anchors are not neuroses to be cured, but the very foundations of meaning and psychological stability. The ongoing rise of sovereignty movements and the renewed interest in traditional religious frameworks can be understood as a direct physiological and cultural reaction to the sterile, managed environment of the global technocracy. This conflict is essentially a battle over the definition of the human soul. On one side is the Chisholmian view of the human being as a data point in a global system of health and security; on the other is the belief in the individual as a sovereign being with an inherent right to a private, unmanaged conscience. As the global state seeks more granular control through

digital surveillance and psychological nudging, the resistance of the "unadjusted" individual becomes an act of fundamental survival.

12.3 Final Reflections on the Sanity of Global Management

In reflecting on the life and work of Brock Chisholm, one is forced to confront a profound irony regarding the nature of sanity. Chisholm spent his career defining mental health as the ability to conform to a managed, borderless, and de-dogmatized world. To a mind that values tradition, individual sovereignty, and the organic complexity of the human spirit, Chisholm's vision of a frictionless global society appears not as a pinnacle of maturity, but as a form of clinical insanity. It is a philosophy that seeks peace by removing the very things that make life worth living for most people. The hubris of the "God Complex"—the belief that a small group of experts can and should re-engineer the human psyche—carries with it a inherent coldness that ignores the profound depth of the human experience. If sanity is defined as the successful management of a population toward a state of administrative compliance, then Chisholm may have been a genius. However, if sanity includes the preservation of the individual's right to struggle with their own conscience, to love their own family above others, and to worship their own God, then the managed world Chisholm helped create is a hollow and dangerous imitation of a healthy society. The legacy of the engineered psyche remains a warning that in the quest for an enduring peace, we must not accidentally eradicate the very things that make us human.

References

American Humanist Association. (1959). Humanist of the Year Award: Brock Chisholm. Retrieved from https://americanhumanist.org/about/humanist-of-the-year/

Chisholm, G. B. (1946). The Psychiatry of Enduring Peace and Social Progress: The William Alanson White Memorial Lectures. Psychiatry: Interpersonal and Biological Processes, 9(1), 3-20. https://doi.org/10.1080/00332747.1946.11022572

Chisholm, G. B. (1948). The World Health Organization. Scientific American, 178(4), 27-31.

Chisholm, G. B. (1954, September 11). The Nations of the World. Speech delivered at the Conference on Education, Asilomar, California.

Diehl, J. B. (2007). Brock Chisholm: Doctor to the World. Vancouver: UBC Press.

Farley, J. (2008). Brock Chisholm, the World Health Organization, and the Cold War. Vancouver: UBC Press.

Huxley, J. S. (1946). UNESCO: Its Purpose and Its Philosophy. Washington, DC: Public Affairs Press. Retrieved from https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000068197

Szasz, T. S. (1960). The Myth of Mental Illness. American Psychologist, 15(2), 113-118.

Szasz, T. S. (1961). The Myth of Mental Illness: Foundations of a Theory of Personal Conduct. New York: Hoeber-Harper.

Tolstoy, L. (1894). The Kingdom of God Is Within You. (Translated by Constance Garnett). London: William Heinemann.

Unitarian Universalist Association. (n.d.). Dictionary of Unitarian & Universalist Biography: George Brock Chisholm. Retrieved from https://uudb.org/articles/georgebrockchisholm.html

United Nations. (1945). Charter of the United Nations and Statute of the International Court of Justice. San Francisco.

World Federalist Movement-Institute for Global Policy. (n.d.). History of the Movement and Global Governance. Retrieved from https://wfm-igp.org/

World Health Organization. (1946). Constitution of the World Health Organization. Signed at the International Health Conference, New York. Retrieved from https://apps.who.int/gb/bd/PDF/bd47/EN/constitution-en.pdf

The author has published over 5,300 AI-assisted papers at: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Douglas-Youvan/research . Google Scholar has indexed these preprints, and if you want a particular reference, the AI mode of a Google search (Gemini) has efficiently catalogued these preprints.